

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/847,005	Applicant(s) WONG ET AL.	
	Examiner Yuwen Pan	Art Unit 2682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Yuwen Pan. (3) Ernest Bodner.
 (2) Thomas A. Ward. (4) Edwin Wong.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 126, 141 and 213.

Identification of prior art discussed: Crisler et al (US005278833A).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: claimed invention was demonstrated by the applicants. According to the demonstration, the claimed invention was clasified into two part, single reservation and double reservation. The direction of the application is totally based on the applicant's amendment after final. If the applicants only select the double reservation part of claims and minor correction of the slected part, this case might rise to the stage of allowance. However the examiner reserves the right of updating the search based on the amendent after final and reopen prosecution if necessary.